UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN DAVI			
	CASE NO. 05 05230 JW		
Plzintiff(s),	STIPULATION AND (CARDESSES) ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS		
OFFICER M. QUINOMES, ARTURO AMARO, CLIFF COLYER, DAVID GUTIERREZ, et al.			
Defendant(s).			
Counsel report that they have met and conf following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8:	Ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:		
The parties agree to participate in the following A	DR process:		
Court Processes:			
 □ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. □ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (A ■ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) 	4) DR L.R. 5)		
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than a ADR phone conference and may not file this form ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8	ny other form of ADR, must participate in an Thry must instead file a Nation of Nacel for		
Private Process:			
Private ADR (please identify process and provider)			
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:			
the presumptive deadline (The deadline) referring the case to an ADR process	ne is 90 days from the date of the order unless otherwise ordered.)		
other requested deadline			
Dated: April 6, 2006	ey for Plaintiff		
Dated: April 6, 2006	BOSKOVICH		
A though	by to Definition		

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursu	ant to the Stipulation above, the capt Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR	tioned matter is hereby ref	rued to:
Deadl	inc for ADR session	٠.	# j
X	90 days from the date of this order.	STATES DISTR	Cr
IT IS SO OR	DERED.	IT IS SO ORDE	RED E
Dated: Apri	112, 2006	Judge James V	man S
			R. /MAGISM JUDGE